

POTOMAC YARD METRORAIL STATION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT



Initial Screening of Alternatives

October 25, 2011




TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | SCREENING AND REFINEMENT OF ALTERNATIVES | 1 |
| 1.2 | INITIAL ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED | 2 |
| 1.2.1 | No Build Alternative | 2 |
| 1.2.2 | Metrorail Station Location Alternatives | 2 |
| 1.2.3 | VRE Station Alternative | 4 |
| 1.2.4 | Bus Alternative | 5 |
| 1.2.5 | Parking Garage Alternative | 5 |
| 2.0 | INITIAL SCREENING CRITERIA AND ANALYSIS | 7 |
| 2.1 | RESPONSIVENESS TO PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED | 7 |
| 2.1.1 | Alternatives A, B1, B2, and B3 | 8 |
| 2.1.2 | Alternatives C1 and C2 | 8 |
| 2.1.3 | Alternatives D1, D2, and D3 | 10 |
| 2.1.4 | Alternatives E1 and E2 | 10 |
| 2.1.5 | VRE Station Alternative | 10 |
| 2.1.6 | Bus Alternative | 10 |
| 2.1.7 | Parking Garage Alternative | 10 |
| 2.2 | CONSISTENCY WITH LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PLANS | 13 |
| 2.2.1 | Alternative A | 13 |
| 2.2.2 | Alternatives B1, B2, and B3 | 13 |
| 2.2.3 | Alternatives C1 and C2 | 14 |
| 2.2.4 | Alternatives D1, D2, and D3 | 15 |
| 2.3 | TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY | 16 |
| 2.3.1 | Alternative A | 17 |
| 2.3.2 | Alternatives B1, B2, and B3 | 21 |
| 2.3.3 | Alternatives C1 and C2 | 21 |
| 2.3.4 | Alternatives D1 and D2 | 22 |
| 2.3.5 | Alternative D3 | 22 |
| 2.3.6 | Technically Feasible Zones | 41 |
| 3.0 | INITIAL SCREENING RESULTS | 43 |
| 3.1 | INITIAL SCREENING MATRIX | 43 |
| 3.2 | ALTERNATIVES ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION | 44 |
| 4.0 | NEXT STEPS | 45 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| TABLE 2-1: PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES..... | 8 |
| TABLE 2-2: CONSISTENCY WITH THE PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES*..... | 11 |
| TABLE 2-3: CONSISTENCY WITH LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT PLANS..... | 14 |
| TABLE 2-4: TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY | 17 |
| TABLE 3-1: SUMMARY OF RESULTS..... | 43 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| FIGURE 1-1: POTOMAC YARD METRORAIL STATION EIS INITIAL ALTERNATIVES | 3 |
| FIGURE 1-2: REFINEMENT OF ALTERNATIVES | 4 |
| FIGURE 2-1: POTOMAC YARD REDEVELOPMENT AREA | 9 |
| FIGURE 2-2: TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY CRITERIA | 19 |
| FIGURE 2-3: ALTERNATIVE A ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 23 |
| FIGURE 2-4: ALTERNATIVE B1 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 25 |
| FIGURE 2-5: ALTERNATIVE B2 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 27 |
| FIGURE 2-6: ALTERNATIVE B3 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 29 |
| FIGURE 2-7: ALTERNATIVE C1 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING | 31 |
| FIGURE 2-8: ALTERNATIVE C2 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING | 33 |
| FIGURE 2-9: ALTERNATIVE D1 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING | 35 |
| FIGURE 2-10: ALTERNATIVE D2 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 37 |
| FIGURE 2-11: ALTERNATIVE D3 ALIGNMENT AND INITIAL SCREENING..... | 39 |
| FIGURE 2-12: TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE STATION LOCATION ZONES..... | 42 |
| FIGURE 4-1: REFINEMENT OF ALTERNATIVES | 46 |

APPENDICES

| | |
|---|--|
| APPENDIX A: ANALYSIS OF TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY OF ALTERNATIVES | |
|---|--|